MINUTES AUTISM TREATMENT FUND ADVISORY COMMITTEE FEBRUARY 10, 2011

PRESENT: COMMITTEE

Harper Randall Peter Nicholas Paul Carbone Leeann Whiffen Cheryl Smith **STAFF**

Rebecca Giles Holly Williams

GUESTS: None

ABSENT:

NOTE TAKER: Rae Sombrero: Department of Health

Agenda Item	Discussion
Welcome and Introductions Rebecca Giles	
Review Act	**DOCUMENT - Open and Public Meetings Act** Requirements were reviewed, questions addressed. Review will occur annually. For full transcript of the Act, contact Rebecca and I will send it to you. No public was present therefore no public comment offered.
Report	**DOCUMENT: FY 2010 Report to Legislature - Autism Treatment Account** Committee must prepare a report once per year which is given to the Utah Department of Health (UDOH) Executive Director and the legislature. Report was prepared by Holly Williams in November 2010.
Autism Treatment Account - Discussion	Committee reviewed the law setting up and governing the Autism Treatment Fund Account. Each section was reviewed. Specific issues identified: Is committee responsible for soliciting funds answer "no" Need for developing rules If process involves public, then a rule is needed; if process only involves the committee, then rule is not necessary. Committee felt there is a need for a rule. Process for amending rules Can be amended but long process involved
Agenda (Review the Charges) -Rebecca Giles	**DOCUMENT - AGENDA** Our first charge is to select a Chair
Motion to elect	Committee nominates Leeann Whiffen as Chair Harper Randall: 1st

AUTISM TREATMENT FUND ADVISORY COMMITTEE

	Paul Carbone: 2 nd
	All voted in favor and Leeann accepted
	·
Administrative Rules	**DOCUMENT – ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**
-Rebecca Giles	**DOCUMENT – UTAH ADMINISTRATIVE RULEMAKING PROCESS**
-repecca Glies	Brief overview of Administrative Rules. Most important thing about rules is the 'binding effect of law'. The law says this and the rules say how to accomplish this committee may make rules, make it publicly available and have a public comment period. We then review the comments, publish the rule. And then enforce the rule.
Draft Rule: Autism	**DOCUMENT – DRAFT RULE**
Treatment Account -Rebecca Giles	(The purpose of this rule is to identify qualifications criteria for selecting services and treatment)
Discussion on Draft	Committee reviewed draft rule prepared by UDOH staff.
Rule	Purpose and authority:
	No major discussion – approved as written
	**DOCUMENT – DRAFT RULE: #3B (PROCEDURES)
	Criteria and Procedures
	Definitions of provider
	Discussion about services and all agreed that this would include services generally accepted by the medical community or American Academy of Pediatrics Guidance for the Management of Children with ASD
	Process for submitting requests
	Request for Proposals (RFP) process recommended
	Examples will be reviewed at a future meeting
	Frequency of request review
	Once per year when funds are available
	"Service and treatment provider" and "therapy" definitions
	All members were in agreement to define therapy as early, intensive behavioral therapy as this supports the intent of the legislation.
	Reports needed from funded providers
	All agreed that this requirement could be spelled out in the RFP
Next Steps & To Do	Rebecca: Send out to committee for review and send it to legal counsel.
	Motion made. All in favor
Next meeting's agenda	Conflict of Interest
	Other policies
	Sample RFP
Future Meeting	Thursday, April 7, 2011: 12:00 – 2:00 pm
Adjourn	1:55 pm
	-